## Curriculum Committee Minutes February 1, 2018 3:30pm – 5:00pm

Location: TDC Room 3.218 (SS Conference Room) and Hood River Room 1.209 (conference room)

**PRESENT** 

**Voting Committee Members** 

Pam Morse (Chair)Linnea JaegerEmilie MillerP.K. Hoffman (phone)Doris Jepson (Vice Chair)Stephen Shwiff

Katy Jablonski (phone)

Non-Voting Committee Members Support Staff Guests

Susan Lewis (Curriculum) Gail Gilliland (Curriculum)

**ABSENT** 

Voting Committee MembersNon-Voting Committee MembersKristen BoothDawn Sallee-Justesen (Student Services)

Zip Krummel John Schoppert

Item	Discussion	Action
Call to Order	Meeting called to order by Pam at 3:35pm	
Informational item: none		
		Motion: Emilie
		2 <sup>nd</sup> : Linnea
Business	Motion: approve January 18, 2018 minutes as written	Action: 6 in favor – 0 opposed –
		0 abstentions
Submissions		
MTH 105 Math in Society (Gen Ed CLO	Pam answered question on behalf of the Math	Motion: Doris
update)	department. There are no changes to the Math	2 <sup>nd</sup> : Linnea

	submissions. They are being brought through the Curriculum Committee for the Gen Ed CLO update.	Action: 6 in favor — 0 opposed — 0 abstentions
	Motion: approve as written	
MTH 111 College Algebra (Gen Ed CLO update)	Motion: approve as written	Motion: Linnea  2 <sup>nd</sup> : Emilie  Action: 6 in favor – 0 opposed –  0 abstentions
MTH 112 Elementary Functions (Gen Ed CLO update)	Motion: approve as written	Motion: Doris  2 <sup>nd</sup> : Linnea  Action: 6 in favor – 0 opposed –  0 abstentions
MTH 243 Statistics I (Gen Ed CLO update)	Motion: approve as written	Motion: Linnea  2 <sup>nd</sup> : Doris  Action: 6 in favor – 0 opposed –  0 abstentions
MTH 244 Statistics II (Gen Ed CLO update)	Motion: approve as written	Motion: Katy  2 <sup>nd</sup> : PK  Action: 6 in favor – 0 opposed – 0 abstentions
MTH 251 Calculus I (Gen Ed CLO update)	Motion: approve as written	Motion: Doris  2 <sup>nd</sup> : Linnea  Action: 6 in favor – 0 opposed –  0 abstentions
MTH 252 Calculus II (Gen Ed CLO update)	3:45pm Stephen arrives.  Motion: approve as written	Motion: Linnea 2 <sup>nd</sup> : Stephen

		Action: 6 in favor - 0 opposed - 0 abstentions
MTH 253 Calculus III (Gen Ed CLO update)	Mark box #4 not addressed  Motion: approve as amended to mark CLO #4 as  "not addressed"	Motion: Linnea  2 <sup>nd</sup> : Emilie  Action: 6 in favor – 0 opposed –  0 abstentions
D'acceptant to the control of the co		
Discussion Items:	(D. V. A.OO. A.E.F. p.ma)	
Definitions of "In-Depth" and "Minimally"	E-mail comments from Kristen, Katy, Zip and PK were read to the Curriculum Committee.  Strong concerns about using the word "Minimally" were expressed. "Minimally" does not represent what is needed.  Committee debated the use of the following words to replace "in-depth" and "minimally":  • "Level 1" and "Level 2" removing the use of any weighted words and replacing with numbers  • "Primary", "Secondary" and "Not Addressed" (concerns about this sounding like primary and secondary school)  • "Major designation" and "Minor designation" (liked that it was terminology used and recognized in academia, liked that it implied that effort was still required for the minor designation)  Agreed upon using "major/minor" terminology.  Changing the title of the formerly "in-depth" designation does not require any change to the previously agreed upon definition.	Motion:  2 <sup>nd</sup> Action: in favor – 0 opposed – 0 abstentions

•	3:30pm – 5:00pm Location: TDC Room 3.218 (SS Conference Room) and HRC Room 1.209 (Conference Room)	nce
Adjourn: 5:00pm	PK moves to adjourn, Linnea 2nds	
	ACTION ITEM: Susan will write up the definition and email it to the committee for responses and potential discussion prior to the meeting.	
	It was decided to table the discussion until the next meeting to allow some time to think about the proposed definition and make further suggestions if needed.	
	Working off of suggested definitions provided in email, the committee crafted the following definition for a "minor" designation:  1. The outcome is addressed adequately in the curriculum, establishing fundamental understanding  2. Students can demonstrate and are assessed on a fundamental understanding of the outcome	
	Discussion on the definition of "minor" ensued. Effort was made to mirror language used in the definition of "major". Concern was expressed about including language that implied time limitations on how long a student would retain what was learned; rather the difference between "major" and "minor" was based on how much exposure the student would have to the CLO.	

Next Meeting: February 15, 2018 3:30pm – 5:00pm Location: TDC Room 3.218 (SS Conference Room) and HRC Room 1.209 (Conference Room)