Curriculum Committee Minutes February 16, 2023 3:30 to 5pm

Location: TDC Boardroom 1.162 & HRC 209

Guests

PRESENT:

Voting Committee Members

Chair- Pam Morse (Math)Stephen Shwiff (Social Science)Vice Chair- Mimi Pentz (Nurs/Hlth)Robert Wells-Clark (Ind/Trade)Kristen Booth (Pre-College)Rebecca Schwartz (Inst Dean)

Emilie Miller (Science)

Non-Voting Committee Members

Susan Lewis (Curriculum)

Mary Martin (Student Services)

Jarett Gilbert (VP Instructional Services)

Supporting Staff Leigh Hancock

Sara Wade (Instructional Services)

ABSENT

Voting Members

Jenn Kamrar (Art,Cult,Comm) **LOA** Andrea LoManaco (Buiness) **Non-Voting Committee Members**

Item	Discussion	Action
Call to Order: 3:37pm	The meeting was called to order by chair Pam Morse.	
Approval of February 9, 2023 n	minutes Motion: approve as written.	Motion: Stephen
		2nds: Robert
		6 in favor – 0 opposed – 0 abstains
Old Business:		
1. Suggested Text/Materia	The CC members shared where their departments stand on the	Motion: Stephen
requirement - continued	d from Suggested Text/Materials section of the submission forms.	2nds: Mimi
1.26.23 and 2.9.23	Clarification that the Suggested Text/Materials section of submission will be mandatory to fill out but the use of the materials	6 in favor – 1 opposed – 0 abstains

or texts listed are just suggested and instructors are free to use what materials/texts that they see best for the classes. Clarification of both sides of the argument: 1. It helps out new instructors to the class find textbook/sources that they can use 2. Concern that researching & testing out possible textbooks/materials can take a lot of time that is felt that may not be needed in the course creation/revision process. Most agreed that as long as it is clear that these are suggested texts, materials, and/or resources and in no way is an instructor will be forced to use the items listed, then they agreed to keep the Suggested Text/Materials section required to be completed. Motion: the committee reconfirmed their stance that the Suggested Text/Material section is a requirement for all submissions to be approved by the Curriculum Committee. 2. Contact Hour Definitions - continued Discussion and clarification of what the original intent of this from 6.10.22, 9.9.22 10.6.22, 11.3.22 discussion was about. The original intent of this conversation was to consider providing guides that would help faculty understand the meaning of different types of contact hours (lecture, lab, lec/lab) and how instruction could be reflected for each when teaching in different modalities (f2f, online, hybrid, hyflex). Examples of how other institutions define these areas were provided. There was a hope that a subcommittee/workgroup would form to discuss, gather and propose definitions to the committee as a whole. Contact Hour Definitions Subcommittee headed by Kristen Booth. The committee will help to determine the definitions of a Hybrid, Hyflex, Face-to-face, and Online class as pertaining to contact hour categories (lecture, lab, lec/lab). Proposed reaching out to faculty outside of the committee. *Jarett & Sara will supply Kristen with a list of full-time faculty not currently serving on a committee, so that they may be contacted first to be asked if they would participate.

1.	Standard Prerequisites - changing	Discussion of the WR 121 pre/co-requisite and the impact of	
	pre/co requisite WR 121 to prerequisite WR 115	placement into classes with multiple measures. The concern is that students are being placed in WR 121 with/without the lab correctly, and consequently may not be ready for other classes that require pre/co-requisite of WR 121.	
		Leigh shared with the committee that there is concern within the writing department that changing the WR 121 pre/co requisite, would harm students. For example, students not being prepared for other college courses that need the skills that are only taught in WR 121.	
		Questions raised on where the problem resides. Is the issue the requisites, placement requirements, placement interpretation, etc.?	
		* This discussion will be taken to IC and then brought back to the CC to be discussed further.	
Meeti	ng Adjourned: 4:48pm	Rebecca motioned to end the meeting seconded by Stephen, All in Favor. Meeting ended at 4:48pm	Next Meeting: March 2, 2023